FERMILAB-Proposal-0453

Correspondent: H. Frisch

EFI, HEP 320

University of Chicago 5640 S. Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637

312-753-8758

NAL PROPOSAL NO. 453

A PROPOSAL TO CONTINUE

MEASUREMENTS OF DIRECT MUON PRODUCTION IN THE FORWARD DIRECTION

D. Buchholz Department of Physics, Northwestern University

H. J. Frisch and M. J. Shochet The Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago

> Rolland P. Johnson Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

R. L. Sumner
Department of Physics, Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University

O. Fackler and S. L. Segler Department of Physics, Rockefeller University

October 20, 1975

60 Pg5

PROPOSAL 453
Chicago-Fermilab-NorthwesternPrinceton-Rockefeller Collaboration

Notes for the Proposal Presentation Meeting of the PAC on Feb. 5-6, 1976

The purpose of these notes is to provide further details on proposed experiment 453 with respect to apparatus, technique, and possible location in the Meson Lab.

APPARATUS

- 1. <u>Target</u> The target would be similar to the accordian-like variable density target utilized in experiment 335 except that the construction would allow the positioning of 1/8" thick scintillators every 3" to discriminate against incoming muons. This feature is important in a measurement involving incident pions. The counters would permit localization and identification of an interaction. At beam intensities of above 10⁶ per pulse, the counters in the target would not be used; their main purpose is the investigation of systematics (at lower beam rates) and the rejection of incoming muons when the incoming beam is pions.
- 2. Absorber Ten feet of iron absorber is adequate to eliminate almost all of the hadron shower. The data from E-335 with a single μ trigger at 300 GeV/c show that the average multiplicity emerging from the shield is less than 4, which should present no problem. As the lateral size of the shield is not large, additional absorber can be added if necessary. The counting rate measured in E-335 behind this shielding plus target was on the order of $10^6/\text{ft}^2$ at an incoming beam intensity of 10^8 .

- 3. Magnet The momentum of the muon can be measured by using a single BM109, which has a 20 cm \times 60 cm gap and gives a transverse momentum kick of \sim 1 GeV/c. Fig. 1 shows the spectrometer layout with the BM109 configuration.
- 4. <u>Chambers</u> The setup would require six planes of vertical and horizontal chambers before and after the magnet for a total of 24 planes. The sizes are given below:

| Chamber | <u>Horizontal</u> | <u>Vertical</u> |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | <2' | 8" |
| 2 | 2' | 8" |
| 3 | 2' | 8" |
| 4 | 2' | 8 ¹¹ |
| 5 | 2.31 | 9" |
| 6 | 2.6' | . 10" |

We are currently considering three possibilities for chambers:

- Drift chambers with Sippach-type readout allowing up to 8 hits per wire. Allowing a drift space of 2 cm gives a total of ≥200 wires. This gives a total cost for the system of 200 × \$150/wire ≥ \$30K.
- 2. MWPC's directly behind the muon absorber and MWPC's/or drift chambers in the rear. Several of our institutions have the capability to build MWPC's using already developed electronics.

3. We are actively looking for a working system of MMPC's or wire spark chambers with core or capacitive readout which we could borrow.

The main criteria for the chambers are:

- previous proof of reliability (i.e., somebody else has a similar working system).
- 2. resolution of $\sigma \leq .5$ mm.
- 3. the capability of tolerating a rate of 4×10^6 particles/sec ft^2 . (corresponding to the measured rate of $10^6/ft^2$ with a multiplicity of less than 4 at 10^8 protons/pulse for E-335.)
- 5. Triggering The basic idea is to utilize a matrix of two hodoscopes:
 - Directly behind the BM109 as close to the center of the magnet as possible. (24 one-inch counters)
 - 2. Behind the first μ -block (24 counters), the trigger would be set up so as to require that the muon angle not be greater than 20 mr from the straight line projecting through the magnet to the target center.

This would allow rejection of low momentum muons while giving good efficiency for muons of greater than 50 GeV/c \cdot

6. <u>Cherenkov Counters</u> - We would utilize a Cherenkov counter to discriminate against incoming muons as in E-335, however with improved optics.

In experiment E-335 we modified a 200' beam pipe to tag incoming particles other than protons. This allowed a rejection of muons in the beam. Typically 85% of the muons which emerged from the target were associated with an incoming proton. The counter functioned well with 10⁸ protons traversing it—there was,

however, a high rate of single photo-electron counts associated with knock-on electrons. The simple non-focusing optics of the Cherenkov counter provided no rejection against this. We would therefore want to have focusing optics in the Cherenkov counter upstream of the target. The counters in the M2 line, for instance, would be excellent in this respect. They have approximately a 1% probability of counting a proton; thus, we could run a beam of 10⁸ through them.

- 7. <u>Muon Filter</u> The muon filter consists of two steel blocks 3' thick with counter hodoscopes downstream of each. The data from E-335 has shown that all high momentum particles emerging from the target and upstream absorber are muons. Consequently, pulse height information at two places, each downstream of 3' of steel, will adequately identify muons.
- 8. <u>Solid Angle</u> With the target closed, the spectrometer subtends an angular range in the lab of ± 26 mr horizontally and ± 8.7 mr vertically. At 400 GeV these angles correspond to angles in the c.m. of $\pm 42^{\circ}$ horizontally and $\pm 15^{\circ}$ vertically. At 300 GeV the respective c.m. angles are $\pm 36^{\circ}$ and $\pm 13^{\circ}$. This gives us a solid angle at 300 GeV of 560 msterad in the c.m. and 640 msterad at 400 GeV.
- 9. Rates The proposed apparatus has a solid angle which is over 500 times larger than that in 335, and has a momentum bite which covers the range from .15 up, as opposed to the narrow ($\pm 7\%$) acceptance of 335. However, as the pion and direct muon fluxes both fall off rapidly in $p_{\rm L}$ and x, one does not gain as fast in rate as one does in solid angle. A Monte Carlo comparison of the 335 and 453 layouts using a pion spectrum of $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdp_1} = C(1-x)^4 p_{\rm L} e^{-5p_{\rm L}}$ predicts

a factor of 20 increase in rate for muons of x>.3 for the 453 setup. The rate for negative muons with x>.3 is about 10 per pulse with an incoming beam of 10^8 . For muons with x>.5, the rate is about a factor of 5 lower.

The rate with incoming pions is not as favorable. As typically the most intense beam we can count will be on the order of 10^6 per pulse, the direct muon rate will be down by a factor of 100 if production by pions is the same as by protons. The rate is still adequate, however, in that in one shift we would accumulate 250 events with x>.3. As all x values are covered in one setting, this rate is adequate.

TECHNIQUE

The technique (due to Jovanovich, and to Adair) involves changing the density of the target. The measured slope of muon production versus inverse density of the target is related to the pion production by knowledge of the pion spectrum. The intercept is the direct muon signal. In E-335, we measured the negative spectrum (mostly pions) from a relatively thin target in order to calculate the relation between the slope and the pion yields. In 453, these measurements would be made with the absorber out and with different numbers of plates in place in the target to map out the pion spectrum in the target.

The measurement of direct muon production in the forward direction by pions is difficult as pion beams are typically 1-10% muons. There are two means we would use to discriminate against these incoming muons: 1) measure outgoing muons of the opposite sign from the incoming pion beams. Opposite sign muons can come only from pions produced in secondary interactions and tend to be much lower momentum; 2) Using the counters in the target to require an


interaction in the target. The effect of the cuts on pulse height can be checked with incoming protons at countable rates.

LOCATIONS IN THE MESON AREA

The perennially popular M2 beam line is capable of providing intensities of 10^8 protons per pulse. It is also flexible in that it can deliver pions at rates on the order of 10^6 per pulse (although at lower energies) and can be run with protons at similar rates. It has fine Cherenkov counters in it already.

The optimum place for this experiment is thus as close to the downstream end of the second Cherenkov counter in the M2 beam line as possible. Figure 2 shows a sketch of the apparatus blithely superposed on E-268's target.

The experiment could also go downstream of this location; it would then require building a Cherenkov counter for in front of the target.







Fig 2



VARIABLE DENSITY TARGET WITH COUNTERS

Fig 3

FERMILAB-Proposal-0453

Correspondent: H. Frisch

H. Frisch EFI, HEP 320

University of Chicago 5640 S. Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637

312-753-8758

ADDENDUM TO PROPOSAL 453

MEASUREMENTS OF DIRECT MUON PRODUCTION IN THE FORWARD DIRECTION

D. Buchholz Department of Physics, Northwestern University

H. J. Frisch and M. J. Shochet The Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago

Rolland P. Johnson Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

R. L. Sumner
Department of Physics, Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University

O. Fackler and S. L. Segler Department of Physics, Rockefeller University

November 6,1975

A Proposal to Continue

Measurements of Direct Muon Production in the Forward Direction

D. Buchholz
Department of Physics, Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

H. J. Frisch and M. J. Shochet
The Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Rolland P. Johnson
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

R. L. Sumner
Department of Physics, Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

O. Fackler and S. L. Segler Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021

<u>Abstract</u>

We recently have measured the direct muon production in the forward direction at small p_{\perp} and find a large direct muon to pion ratio. At x=0.3 the ratio is $\mu^-/\pi^-=1.83\pm0.43\times10^{-4}$ for negatives and $\mu^+/\pi^+=6.4\pm1.3\times10^{-5}$ for positives. The direct production of μ^+ and μ^- are equal although the π^+/π^- ratio is large. We propose to continue these measurements and extend them to higher x. These new measurements would also include the A dependence of the μ/π ratio. Based on our experience with this apparatus, we believe it is possible to extend these measurements of single lepton production to production by incident pions and kaons.

I. INTRODUCTION

The origin of direct lepton production $^{1-5}$ is as yet not understood. The phenomenon was first discovered at large values of p_{\perp} (p_{\perp} > 1.5 GeV/c), a kinematic region which itself is not well understood. Questions of the s, x, and p_{\perp} dependence of the direct muon to pion ratio are still with us almost two years after the publication of the first Fermilab results. 2 , 3 We recently have completed the first measurements of the direct muon production at very low p_{\perp} , i.e. $p_{\perp} < 0.4$ GeV/c. These measurements were made in Experiment E-335 at Fermilab in the M1 beam of the Meson Area. The results, as well as the original E-335 proposal, are attached to this proposal.

A brief summary of the results and their significance is presented here:

- 1) In 300 GeV proton-Uranium collisions the ratio of direct muons to pions is larger at $p_{\perp} \leqslant 0.4$ GeV and x = 0.3 than for $p_{\perp} > 1.5$ GeV at x = 0.
- 2) The ratio μ^-/π^- is smaller at x = 0.5 than at x = 0.3; i.e., the direct muon production is steeper in x than the pion production.
- 3) Equal numbers of direct μ^+ and μ^- are created, although far more π^+ are created than π^- . This is consistent, for example, with the direct muons (or their parents) being created in pairs.

These results rule out heavy vector mesons as the source of direct leptons. They are consistent, however, with the many-body semi-leptonic decay of a heavy particle, or with either lighter vector parents or continuum creation of the muons.

Although the above results are significant, the measurements are not complete. We would like to finish the job. A brief summary of the problems encountered and overcome is necessary:

- 1. The operation of the first stage of the MI beam line at 300 GeV required running magnets at 5250 amps. One entire week of running time was wasted as the power supplies were not phased correctly in spite of the valiant attempts of the Meson Lab technical staff.
- 2. There were severe halo problems associated with operating the MI beam line as a quasi-diffracted beam (the MI line had never been run in this mode before). Because halo creates muons, detecting single muons may become easier than one might wish. Skill in tuning the beam and measuring the backgrounds took time to develop.
- 3. The Cherenkov counter singles rates were high due partly to beam halo passing through the quartz window in front of the phototube. This could be cured by a more sophisticated optical system in the Cherenkov counter.
- 4. Access. Because the detector was inside the meson area tunnels, the detector could not be accessed except on down days or by shutting off the Meson Area program. Because a major part of the experiment is the study of systematics and backgrounds, easy access to the target area is essential. Access turned out to be one of the major problems in running Experiment 335.

The data presented in the attached paper represents 18 hours of running, the time remaining after solving background and equipment problems.

II. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

To continue and extend these measurements in the M1 beam line in the present location would require improvements in the beam and apparatus. The beam halo and magnet regulation problems would be greatly diminished by running the beam at 200 GeV/c instead of at 300 GeV/c. The 200 GeV/c beam would improve the beam/halo ratio by almost an order of magnitude. Magnet regulation is less of a problem at 200 GeV/c as the magnets would operate at 2/3 of their peak current. The Cherenkov counter optics would be rebuilt with a more sophisticated design. To continue the experiment with the variable density target in its present location would require 200 GeV/c protons on the Meson Area target. Operation at 300 GeV/c is possible, but at 400 GeV/c is not.

However, we propose substantially improving the experiment by relocating the target and the forward spectrometer downstream of the present location into the M1 or M2 beam lines in the Meson Area Detector Building. A countable beam of less than approximately 5×10^6 particles/pulse could then be used. By shortening the spectrometer, an increase in solid angle would compensate for the loss of a factor of 10 in rate, while still maintaining the acceptance in the region $p_{\perp} < 400$ MeV/c. Again a threshold Cherenkov counter is necessary to tag incoming muons.

A schematic of the spectrometer is shown in Fig. 1. The target and absorber would be followed by three small (less than $5" \times 2"$) MWPC's with 1.5 mm wire spacing. Small counter hodoscopes with horizontal slats provide additional constraints. Two standard 10-foot long EPB dipoles conservatively operated at 11 kg give a total bend of 10 mr at 200 GeV/c. Three more MWPC's

follow the magnets. Muon identification would be performed with four $12" \times 12" \times 36"$ steel blocks and plastic scintillators. This setup has 20 times the solid angle and 5 times the momentum bite of the original E-335 spectrometer and has comparable resolution. Parameters of the spectrometer are listed in Table I. If the slightly larger aperature 6-3-120 EPB magnet were available, the solid angle would be double that listed in Table I.

This arrangement eliminates the access and halo problems which were major impediments in carrying out E-335.

The variable density target technique depends on assumptions on the shape of the x distribution in the hadron shower, and on the absorption length in the target. The technique can be refined by measuring the hadron flux from thick targets of different lengths in order to actually measure the hadron shower at different depths in the absorber. This would be done by removing the steel absorber downstream of the target and measuring the hadron spectra from the target with different numbers of Uranium plates removed from the downstream end. These measurements allow a quantitative calculation of backgrounds due to the cascading of hadrons in the thick target, hadron leakage from the downstream end of the target, and Bethe-Heitler pair production from π° production and decay. With this refinement, the variable density target is a viable technique for measuring single direct muon production in the forward direction.

III. TIME SCALE

To move and rebuild the spectrometer requires the construction of:

- 1) The Cherenkov counter.
- 2) Eight planes of small MWPC's or drift chambers.
- 3) Readout, computer, and associated Camac interface.

Based on previous experience with building large Cherenkov counters, the Cherenkov counter can be constructed in six months. That amount of time is adequate also to build the small MWPC's and Camac readout based on the standard EFI design which was worked well on several experiments. The necessary fast logic and Camac interface would also be required. We would hope this time to have the computer located in the same experimental area as the apparatus.

IV. RUNNING TIME

The running time is estimated as follows:

- 1) Tuning the spectrometer, background studies 200 hrs.
- 2) Data taking with incident protons 100 hrs.
- 3) A dependences 100 hrs.
- 4) Incident pions and kaons--limited x range 200 hrs.

The data in the attached paper were taken in the last 18 hours of the experiment. The positive x = 0.3 data represent less than two hours of running time.

IV. MANPOWER

At present we have the same commitments to big existing or approved epxeriments⁶ as we did when we ran E-335. By spring several of these commitments will be over. We thus count at least one full time experimenter, six half-time experimenters, and possibly two students. We also have available to us technical support from our respective institutions. Based on our previous experience, this manpower is well matched to the experiment.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. B. Bondarenko et αl ., Proc. XVI Intl. Conf. on High Energy Physics, Batavia (1972), Vol. 2, p. 329.
- 2. J. P. Boymond et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>33</u>, 112 (1974).
- 3. J. A. Appel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 719 (1974).
- 4. F. W. Busser et al., Phys. Lett. <u>53B</u>, 212 (1974).
- 5. D. Bintinger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 72 (1975).
- 6. A. Pope, On the Collar of a Dog.

TABLE I

Spectrometer Specifications

Momentum bite:

50%

Dispersion:

20%/inch

Bend angle:

10 mr @ 200 GeV

Acceptance:

 $20~\mu ster$

MWPC wire spacing:

1.5 mm

 p_{\perp} resolution:

< 400 MeV/c

A Measurement of Direct Muon Production in the Forward Direction

D. Buchholz⁺
Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91125

H. J. Frisch and M. J. Shochet
The Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Rolland P. Johnson
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

R. L. Sumner
Department of Physics, Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

O. Fackler and S. L. Segler
Department of Physics, Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021

<u>Abstract</u>

Direct muon production in the forward direction in 300 GeV proton-Uranium collisions has been measured at Fermilab. The measurements were made for muons of momenta 90 GeV/c and 150 GeV/c with P_{\perp} less than 400 MeV/c.

The values for the μ/π ratios are $\mu^-/\pi^- = 1.83 \pm .43 \times 10^{-4}$, and $\mu^+/\pi^+ = 6.4 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90 GeV/c; and $\mu^-/\pi^- = 4.2 \pm 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ at 150 GeV/c. This signal is too large to be accounted for by decays of known vector mesons.

The direct production of leptons in hadron collisions has been observed previously $^{1-5}$ at large P_{\perp} (> 1 GeV/c) and x \simeq 0. 6 These observations over the BNL to ISR energy range show the inclusive single lepton yield to be at the level of about 10^{-4} of the pion yield and essentially independent of s and P_{\perp} , as well as A, the target atomic number. Measurements of direct lepton production in the forward direction at BNL energies 7 show a strong dependence on the outgoing muon momentum, with the direct production falling rapidly with increasing momentum.

As present, there is no theoretical explanation which adequately describes all of the above measurements. Contributions from decays of vector mesons ρ , ω , ϕ , ψ are too small.⁸ Attempts to predict theoretically a continuum of massive virtual photons by parton-antiparton annihilation⁹ have been unsuccessful.

Measurements of the forward muon production, as opposed to production at high P_{\perp} , are particularly interesting because contributions to the direct muon signal from dileptonic decays of massive vector mesons should be suppressed. Also, since the π yield increases at low P_{\perp} , a constant value of μ/π would imply a large total cross section for the production of direct muons.

The experiment described here extends the measurements of μ/π to intermediate x (x \simeq .3) and small P_L (\lesssim .4 GeV/c) to investigate this question and thus the source of the observed direct leptons.

A schematic diagram of the beam and experiment is shown in Fig. 1. The first stage of the Meson Lab M1 beam line at Fermilab was used to transport a 300 GeV/c proton beam to a variable density target of twenty-four 2.54 cm thick Uranium plates, each 15 cm \times 15 cm. Immediately following the target, 3 m of steel was used to absorb hadrons produced in the target. An ion

chamber upstream of the target monitored the incident proton flux which was typically $1 \times 10^8/\text{pulse}$.

Directly upstream of the target, the beam passed through a 60-m long He-filled threshold Cherenkov counter, set to count muons and pions, but not protons. An RCA 31000M photomultiplier was used to permit single photoelectron resolution. Use of this counter essentially eliminated muons from upstream sources as a background. This counter was empirically found to be 95% efficient for muons.

The downstream stage of the M1 beam line was used as a spectrometer to momentum analyze and transport produced muons to a muon detector located 90 m downstream of the target. Six small scintillation counters with air light-guides (B1-B6) defined the trajectories of the muons in the spectrometer. The muon detector consisted of 5 m of steel and two large scintillation counters, $\mu 1$ and $\mu 2$. This detector provided an additional rejection against hadrons of 10^{-3} , although any particles which emerged from the steel absorber and counted in B1 through B6 also counted in $\mu 1$ and $\mu 2$.

The quadrupoles Q5-Q8 focused the beam at B4, which defined a $\pm 7\%$ momentum bite. The spectrometer acceptance was 1.1 µsr at a mean production angle of 0° with a full width (half maximum) of 1.3 mr in the horizontal and .8 mr in the vertical. The P₁ acceptance is shown in Fig. 2.

Most of the data were taken with a trigger consisting of the coincidence $B \equiv B3 \cdot B4 \cdot B5 \cdot B6$

For each event, the pulse heights of the Cherenkov counter and scintillators B1 and B2 were recorded on magnetic tape via a 2.5 km link by a PDP9 Computer located in the Proton Lab. Scalers and the ion chamber were recorded for each accelerator pulse.

The direct muon to pion ratio was determined by varying the effective density of the U target. Data were taken in alternating runs with the target closed (density ρ = 0.88 ρ_U , where ρ_U is the density of Uranium) and target open (ρ = 0.25 ρ_U). The slope of the muon yield vs $1/\rho$ arises from muons created by the decay of pions and kaons. The average distance these secondary particles travel before interacting is inversely proportional to the density of the target. Extrapolating to infinite density thus eliminates muons created in pion and kaon decays, with the intercept being the direct muon signal. If the shape of the spectra of pions and kaons produced in the target is known, the slope of the muon yield vs density curve can be used to determine the pion flux. Thus, the ratio of slope to intercept determines the muon to pion ratio directly.

One assumption crucial to this argument is that the pions and kaons which decay into muons and the direct muons are produced by the incident protons and are not produced later in the hadron shower. At values of x where the pion production is falling rapidly with x, this assumption is reasonable. At values of x where the pion production is not steep, however, one would need to understand the full hadron shower inside the thick target. This would preclude extrapolating this technique to zero x.

The negative particle flux was measured independently by lowering the target and steel out of the beam and allowing the beam to strike a 15 cm thick Uranium target. The incident beam intensity was lowered to a countable rate by adjusting the upstream collimators.

The measured π^- flux from the 15 cm U target was fit well¹⁰ in the interval x = .3 to x = .7, by the function (1-x)⁴. This is somewhat steeper

than spectra measured at 0.8 mr from an Al target with 300 GeV protons by Aubert $et\ al.^{11}$ The positive pion flux was not measured as it was not possible to discriminate positive pions from the more abundant secondary protons. Consequently, the π^+/π^- ratios of Aubert $et\ al.$ and the π^- spectra measured in this experiment were used to generate a π^+ spectrum. The change in the calculated direct muon to pion ratio is less than 20% depending on which spectrum is used. This systematic error is not included in the final error.

The contribution from kaon decay was calculated by parameterizing the K^-/π^- and K^+/π^+ ratios as measured by Aubert *et al.* as a function of x. We calculate the contribution from K^- to be 16% of that of π^- at x = .3, from K^+ to be 25% of π^+ at x = .3, and from K^- to be 2.3% of π^- at x = .5. The μ/π ratio has been corrected for these contributions.

The data reduction consisted of determining the ratio $B \cdot \overline{C}/i$ on-chamber from the events recorded on magnetic tape. For most of the data, B1 and B2 were included in B by examining the pulse height. The Cherenkov counter pulse height threshold was set at 2 or more photoelectrons to eliminate a small but troublesome efficiency for protons. This reduced the muon efficiency to 81%. The data were corrected for accidental counts in the Cherenkov counter. These corrections were less than 5%. Finally, the data have been corrected for the small difference in detection efficiency (5%) between open and closed target positions and for the effects of upstream sources. (See Table I)

The corrected μ /ion-chamber data are shown in Fig. 3. Note that the number of μ^+ is equal to the number of μ^- per incident proton at x = .3, within statistics. This is expected, for example, if the direct muons are produced only in pairs.

Backgrounds associated with the beam halo were investigated by intentionally mis-steering the beam. Possible background associated with muons in the incoming beam was investigated by lowering the incident beam intensity and, with the Cherenkov counter set above proton threshold, counting incoming protons associated with outgoing muons. There are two possible backgrounds which could not be investigated in the short time available: i) the leakage from the back of the target of high energy protons which then give rise to muons in the steel absorber just downstream; and ii) protons which interact in material upstream of the target, giving rise to muons. Because the target was ∿5 absorption lengths thick, i) is expected to be very small. Background ii) occurs only for material after the Cherenkov counter and in front of the target, as muons from interactions upstream of the Cherenkov counter are vetoed. The material downstream of the Cherenkov counter is listed in Table I. The number of muons produced by this material was estimated by scaling the decay muons detected from the target by the ratio of the number of protons interacting in the material to those interacting in the target. The total contribution to the signal is listed in Table I.

There are two other known sources of muons produced in the target itself: i) Bethe-Heitler pair production of muons from γ -rays coming mostly from π^0 's, and ii) the production of vector mesons ρ , ω , ϕ , and ψ which can subsequently decay to muon pairs. The contribution to the μ/π ratio from the Bethe-Heitler process can be calculated very simply if the production cross sections for neutral and charged pions are known as a function of x. The calculation has been performed for a number of parameterizations of the pion cross sections, and at x = 0.3, $\mu^-/\pi^-|_{BH} = 1.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mu^+/\pi^+|_{BH} = 0.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$. At x = 0.5, $\mu^-/\pi^-|_{BH}$ drops to \sim 0.35 \times 10⁻⁵.

The production of the lighter vector mesons ρ , ω , and ϕ will also contribute to the "direct" muon signal. The cross section for inclusive ρ production in p-p collisions has been measured at 12 and 24 GeV by Blobel et al., and at 205 GeV/c by Singer et al. At each of these energies, the overall ρ^0 cross sections are about 1/12 to 1/15 of the π^- cross sections. However, because the acceptance of the present experiment is limited to muons with pl 400 MeV/c, the ρ contribution is suppressed. Assuming that the ρ^0/π^- ratio is independent of x, the calculated contribution to the μ^-/π^- ratio from ρ decay is $< 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$. There is indirect evidence from Blobel et al., at 24 GeV that the ω inclusive production is equal to that of ρ production. Thus the sum of the ρ and ω contributions is less than 2 × 10⁻⁵. Blobel et al. have set a limit on inclusive ϕ production of $\phi/\rho < .01$ at 22 GeV; the ϕ decays are therefore ignored. Also, because the ψ and ψ' are heavy, their contribution to the signal at small ρ 1 is negligible.

In conclusion, a non-zero direct muon signal in 300 GeV/c p-U collisions has been measured at values of $P_{\perp} \lesssim 400$ MeV/c. The size of the signal is too large to be accounted for by the Bethe-Heitler process and vector meson decay. The signal at very small p_{\perp} is comparable to that measured at larger values of p_{\perp} . This would seem to rule out a vector parent for these leptons which is heavy (>>1 GeV), as one would expect a very small contribution at such low values of p_{\perp} .

References

- * Work supported in part by the Energy Research and Development Administration and the National Science Foundation.
- + Present address: Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201.
- G. B. Bondarenko et al., Proc. XVI Intl. Conf. on High Energy Physics,
 Batavia (1972), Vol. 2, p. 329.
- 2. J. P. Boymond *et αl.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>33</u>, 112 (1974).
- 3. J. A. Appel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 719 (1974).
- 4. F. W. Busser et αl., Phys. Lett. 53B, 212 (1974).
- 5. D. Bintinger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 72 (1975).
- 6. The Feynman scaling variable, x, is defined as $x_F = P_{II} / P_{II max}$ evaluated in the c.m. The variable x defined as P_{μ}/P_{beam} measured in the lab differs from x_F insignificantly at these momenta.
- P. J. Wanderer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 729 (1969); C. M. Ankenbrandt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. D 3, 2582 (1971); L. B. Leipuner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 103 (1975).
- 8. See, for example, G. R. Farrar, Nucl. Phys. <u>B77</u>, 429 (1974); J. W. Cronin, Lecture at the International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice, July 1975, and M. Bourquin and J. M. Gaillard, paper submitted to the 1975 Intl. Symposium on Lepton & Photon Interaction at High Energies, Stanford University, August 1975.
- 9. S. D. Drell and Tung-Mow Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>25</u>, 316 (1970); G. R. Farrar, Nucl. Phys. <u>B77</u>, 429 (1974). See also, J. P. Boymond *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>33</u>, 112 (1974). A recent calculation by Minh Duong-van (SLAC preprint SLAC-PUB-1603, July 1975) does get values for μ/π of $\sim 10^{-4}$ by this mechanism, but probably would be in conflict with the measurements of Ref. 4.

- 10. The function $\frac{d\sigma^2}{dxd\Omega} \mid_{\pi^-}$ was parameterized by (1-x)*. The π^+ spectrum $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxd\Omega} \mid_{\pi^+}$ was less steep and was parameterized by x(1-x)*.
- 11. B. Aubert et al., preprint FERMILAB-Conf-75/31-EXP 7300.001 (submitted to the International Colloquium of the CNRS on "Neutrino Physics at High Energy," Ecole Polytechnique, Poincare Amphitheatre, Paris, France (March 18-20, 1975). The spectrum measured in the present experiment is steeper by approximately a factor of (1-x) than that of Aubert et al.
- 12. V. Blobel *et αl*., Phys. Lett. <u>48B</u>, 73 (1974).
- 13. P. Singer et al., preprint ANL-HEP-PR-75-48 (submitted to Physics Letters B).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 The beam and experimental apparatus. The first stage of the beamline transported 300 GeV protons to the variable density target. The beam-line downstream of the target was used to select and identify muons.
- Fig. 2 The P₁ acceptance of the apparatus as determined by a Monte Carlo calculation.
- Fig. 3 The corrected muon flux/ion-chamber vs inverse target density. The intercept corresponds to the direct muon signal per incident proton (arbitrary units).

Table Captions

- Table I Material in the beam downstream of the Cherenkov counter and its calculated background contribution to the μ/π ratios.
- Table II The corrected intercept to slope ratios and the calculated μ/π ratios at x = .3 and .5 for negative muons and x = .3 for positive muons.

| ft) | m m | | | | | | | | - | | | 1 | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|---|----------|----------|
| cay length (| 24.7 × 10 ⁻³ | 34.4 | 0.5 | 11.7 | 6.6 | 86.9 | 1 | 102.9 | 4.9 | 58.5 | .334 | | 0.450 | 0.450 |
| fraction × decay length (ft) open closed | 22.5 × 10 ⁻³ | 28.6 | 0.4 | 9.35 | 7.7 | 67.2 | 65.2 | 1 | 2.3 | 18.8 | 0.222 | | 1.57 | 1.57 |
| mean length (ft) closed | 50.3 | 25.3 | 23.3 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 18.9 | i | .6.01 | 8.0 | 6.36 | Upstream Total | | 0.450 | 0.450 |
| me decay le open | 46 | 21 | 61 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 14.6 | 8.7 | ı | 3.75 | 2.04 | Upstrea | | 1.568 | 1.568 |
| fraction interacting | 0.49 × 10 ⁻³ | 1.36 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 4.60 | 7.49 | 9.44 | 0.61 | 9.20 | | | %001 | 100% |
| λ _{ABS} (cm) | (1ATM) 335,500. | 56 cm | (1ATM) 335,500. | 37 cm | 20 cm | 69 cm | 67,500 cm | | 20 ст | 69 cm | | | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Thickness | 50 ' | .030" | 4. | 800 | .004" | .125" | ,66L | 251" | 500 | .250" | | | 8 | 8 |
| Material | Helium C (1.6 PSIA) | MYLAR Mirror | Helium (1.6 PSIA) | Al Window | Ion Chamber | Scintillator | Open Air (16.8') | (1ATM) Closed (20.9') | • | Scintillator | | | U Target | U Target |

TABLE II

| sign | P _µ (GeV/c) | intercept (arbitrary units) | intercept/slope* | μ/(π+K) × 10 ⁴ | μ/π × 10 ⁴ |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| + | 06 | 4.95 ± 0.51 | 4.0 ± 0.6 | 0.48 ± 0.07 | 0.64 ± 0.13 |
| 1 | 06 | 4.72 ± 0.18 | 15.4 ± 3.6 | 1.54 ± 0.36 | 1.83 ± 0.43 |
| | 150 | 0.87 ± 0.12 | 11.2 ± 6.2 | 0.40 ± 0.22 | 0.42 ± 0.23 |

 * per unit change in 1/
ho




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

Incoming Particle Associated with an Outgoing Muon

| | Sign P _µ (GeV/c) | Target Position | Protons | Muons | Accidentals | Corrected muons µ _c | Corrected protons p _c | μ _c /ρ _c | Accidentals/p _C | µc/tot |
|----------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| | 06 | nedo | 1542 | 237 | 48 | 292 | 1488 | 961. | .032 | .164 |
| ł | 06 | closed | 1312 | 167 | £9 | 205 | 1273 | 191• | .049 | .139 |
| L | 06 | oben | 757 | 223 | 39 | 274 | 902 | . 389 | .055 | . 280 |
| <u> </u> | 06 | closed | 495 | 206 | 33 | 253 | 448 | . 566 | .074 | .361 |
| | 150 | oben | 132 | ഹ | 4 | 9 | 126 | .049 | .032 | .046 |
| <u> </u> | 150 | closed | 110 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 86 | .126 | .061 | - |

A SEARCH FOR DIRECT MUON PRODUCTION IN THE FORWARD DIRECTION

D. Buchholz California Institute of Technology

D. Eartly and Rolland P. Johnson Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

R. L. Sumner Princeton University

0. Fackler *
Rockefeller University

H. Frisch and M. Shochet The University of Chicago

ABSTRACT

We propose to search for direct muon production in the forward direction from 300 GeV protons incident on a heavy nuclear target. By using the first stage of the M1 beam as a source of a diffracted proton beam and the second and third stages of M1 as a spectrometer, one can make a measurement of the direct muon to pion ratio at values of x between 0.3 and 0.75. If this ratio is on the order of 10^{-4} , the event rates are on the order of 800 direct muons/hr. at an x of .5. The modifications to the M1 beam are minor.

^{*}Correspondent

I. Physics Motivation

Three of the most interesting recent results in high energy physics have involved lepton-hadron processes: 1) the scaling 1,2 of the structure functions in deep inelastic lepton-hadron scattering, 2) the production of hadrons in e^+e^- collisions at CEA 3 and SPEAR 4 , and 3) the observation of direct muon pairs at BNL 5 and directly produced single muons and electrons at NAL 6 ,7,8, the ISR 9 , and Serphukov 10 . It is likely that, at very high energies, it will be in such experiments as these, rather than in standard strong interaction experiments, that one will learn about the fundamental structure of hadrons.

The SPEAR results, the BNL dilepton production, and the newly observed single lepton production all are surprising in that the coupling between hadrons and leptons is much stronger than conventional wisdom 11 would predict. There is also another experiment, the small angle muon pair electroproduction experiment at Cornell 12 , which sees an order of magnitude more muon pairs than one would expect. Moreover, Adair has reported 13 that at $\sqrt{s} = 7.6$ GeV, the direct muon to pion ratio was on the order of 10^{-4} in the forward direction, a value much greater than expected from electromagnetic decays of strongly produced particles. All of these enhancements are especially interesting in the light of the current excitement about theories which unify the strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions, including some which involve a direct relationship between leptons and hadrons. 14

The large dilepton production cross-sections found at BNL are related to the surprising SPEAR results on the constant cross-section for the production of hadrons. ¹⁵ It is not yet clear, however, whether or not the copious production of single leptons is related to either of these processes, or whether it has a more conventional, strong interaction source, such as the dileptonic decays of known vector mesons.

The present high energy data on single lepton production at large values of P_{\perp} show that the ratio of cross-sections for the production of direct muons and of pions $(\mu D/\pi)$ is a constant approximately equal to 10^{-4} over a wide range in P_{\perp} and \sqrt{s} . Specifically, at $\sqrt{s}=23.7$ GeV the cross-section is constant from $P_{\perp}=1.5$ GeV to a P_{\perp} of 5.35 GeV. A measurement 16 at $\sqrt{s}=19.4$ also finds the ratio $\mu D/\pi$ is 10^{-4} . Measurements at the ISR (on electron production) at a \sqrt{s} of 53 GeV, at Serphukov 10 at a \sqrt{s} of 12 GeV, and at Fermilab for 11 GeV < \sqrt{s} < 24 GeV also find approximately the same ratio for $\mu D/\pi$. One can thus conclude that in the kinematic region around 90° in the c.m. and at fairly large values of P_{\perp} there is no systematic energy or P_{\perp} dependence in the ratio $\mu D/\pi$.

It is possible that these leptons come from the dileptonic decays of vector mesons, such as the ρ , ω , and ϕ . If we assume that each of these is produced with equal cross-section, the production of each of the vector mesons would have to be 1.8, 3.0 and 4.6 times the pion production for $P_{\perp}=1.5$, 3.0, and 4.5 GeV, respectively. While these numbers seem large, and a mechanism that makes the vector meson production grow in such a way that μ_D/π stays constant would seem unusual, vector mesons cannot be ruled out as a source for the high P_{\perp} leptons.

If the ratio $\mu D/\pi$ were also equal to 10^{-4} at low P_{\perp} for a wide range of x, the vector mesons would have to be produced at least as copiously as pions in strong interactions. Leipuner et al. have reported 13 that in a Brookhaven experiment 17, 18 at $\sqrt{s}=7.6$ GeV the direct muon to pion ratio was on the order of 10^{-4} . These data rule out the known vector mesons as the source of the single leptons: the ρ meson production has been measured at $\sqrt{s}=7.0$ GeV by Blobel et al. 19 who find that the ρ production cross-section is approximately 1/15 of the pion cross-section.

The measurements proposed here would extend the measurements of Adair to higher energies and a wider range in x. The constancy of the ratio μ_D/π at high P_\perp over a wide range in P_\perp and \sqrt{s} , where the cross section changes by more than a factor of 10^5 , raises the possibility that, barring phase space effects, the ratio is a constant independent of x and P_\perp . It is possible that the change in μ_D/π with x, as seen by Leipuner et al. at Brookhaven National Laboratory, is in fact a threshhold effect, i.e., a heavy particle is the source of these muons. This seems to be corroborated by the measurements at the ZGS by Lamb et al., who have showed that direct muons are not seen at P_\perp = 1.4 GeV at a level of approximately 3-4 x 10^{-5} . The fact that the c.m. energy available at NAL is probably many times the masses of the source of these muons allows this measurement over a wide range of x without the complicating effects of being near the edge of phase space.

We should note that the proposed search would be sensitive to muons from other exotic sources, such as charmed particles or heavy leptons. For example, if charmed particles are as copiously produced as kaons and decay weakly into leptons with a branching ratio of 10%, one would expect a strong muon signal at a level of approximately 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} of the pion flux. This is certainly a possible candidate for the source of the muons.

Experimental Technique

The diffracted proton beam impinges on a heavy variable density target approximately 6 absorption lengths thick. Immediately downstream of this a fixed 10' steel absorber filters out the hadronic cascade. The target consists of plates with variable spacing between them such that the average density is variable by a factor of four. (See Fig. 1). The muon flux will be measured at three different densities, and the production extrapolated to infinite density, i. e., zero effective decay length. Figure 2 shows such an extrapolation. The intercept of the line at zero effective decay length gives the component of muons which are direct – that is, do not come from the decay of long-lived particles such as the π and the K.

The slope of the extrapolation versus density measures the pion flux, thus measuring the direct muon to pion ratio. To do this, one need only know the shape of the pion production spectrum, and not the absolute normalization. If one parameterizes the pion spectrum in the forward direction versus x by the experimentally determined function²¹

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \propto x(1-x)^4$$

one can calculate for a given slope the pion production. Conversely, for illustrative purposes, Fig. 2 shows the extrapolation plot as calculated for x = .5 assuming a value of μ_D/π of 10^{-4} , and a pion spectrum $\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \propto x(1-x)^4$.

The error bars shown represent a shift of data taking per point assuming the event rates are 1/3 of those calculated.

The value of x for a measurement is determined by the ratio of the momenta set in the downstream stages of the beam relative to that of the first stage. Thus changing the x value measured is very easy.

Experimental Apparatus

The beam layout is shown in Fig. 3. We propose to use the first stage of the M1 beam line to transport a 300 GeV/c diffracted proton beam to the target located just upstream of B_{7-9} . The incident beam intensity will be monitored upstream of the target. The beam line downstream of the variable density target is used as a spectrometer to select, momentum analyze, and transport any resulting muons to a muon detector similar to that presently in use in the M1 beam line.

The quadrupoles Q_{7-9} focus the beam horizontally at the 900 ft. level where a scintillation counter S_2 defines a \pm 7% momentum bite. The spectrometer's acceptance is 0.36 μ ster at a mean production angle of 1.6 mr. The quadrupoles Q_{10-13} focus the beam upstream of the muon detector. The other scintillation counters are used to define the beam.

The muon detector would be located in one of two possible positions: either at the upstream end of the meson detector building, or at the 1000 ft. region of the M1 beam line. (The latter location for the muon detector would result in a factor of 5 increase in the yields discussed on p. 6 of this proposal.)

The only equipment needed that is not already in use in the M1 beam line is the variable density target, the scintillators S_1 - S_6 , miscellaneous other scintillators for antis, and the modification of the 200' vacuum pipe into a Čerenkov counter. Also, if necessary, a simple new muon detector of steel and scintillator would be constructed.

We should note that the MI beam line may not be unique in being suitable for this experiment. We are looking into other beam lines which have the capability of being run as two separate focusing dispersive stages with a vacuum pipe upstream of the suitable target location.

Event Rates

We assume a diffracted proton beam of 5 x 10^8 protons/pulse incident on our target, and make the assumption that the production increases by a factor of 6.8 at 225 GeV/c in going from the measurements at 3.6 mr to a 1.6 mr production angle. The spectrometer acceptance, $\Delta\Omega$ Δp , is 5.8 x 10^{-8} steradians. We can thus calculate the event prates shown in Table 1. The rates are shown for π ; the π rate is about a factor of 3 higher. The event rates in direct muons/hr are shown in Fig. 4.

TABLE 1

| Spectrometer Momentum | X | π /pulse | μ _D /pulse * |
|--------------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 90 | .0.3 | 2.7 × 10 ⁴ | 2.7 |
| 150 | 0.5 | 1.3×10^4 | 1.3 |
| 175 | 0.58 | 8000 | 0.8 |
| 200 | 0.67 | 4000 | 0.4 |
| 225 | 0.75 | 2000 | 0.2 |

^{*}assuming $\mu_{\rm D}/\pi = 10^{-4}$

If the muon detector were to be located in the 1000' region rather than in the meson detector building, the rates would be a factor of five higher than shown in Table 1 or Fig. 4.

We should emphasize that a search for a rare process like direct lepton production is not by nature a high statistics experiment.

The smallest event rate listed in Table I, while appearing very small, is a factor of 100 larger than the smallest direct lepton rate successfully measured in the high P_{\perp} experiments. ¹⁶

The A Dependence of Direct Muon Production

If the direct production rates are sufficiently large, we propose to study the μ_D/π ratio as a function of the atomic number (A) of the target. The production depends on the atomic number of the target nucleus in a fashion determined by the nature of the production process itself. Measurements at high P_\perp have shown that the muon production has the same A dependence as the pion production. However, the pion production at high transverse momentum is not yet understood. The A dependence of the pion production itself is not the $A^{2/3}$ one might expect, but rises with P_\perp to an $A^{1.1}$ dependence 23 .

Backgrounds

We consider as background any muons that are detected in the counter telescopes and in the muon detector and which are not directly produced. There are three sources of background of which we have thought:

- 1) Muons from the decay in flight of π 's and K's produced in the cascade inside the target and absorber.
- 2) Muons from hadrons which emerge from the downstream end of the absorber
- 3) Muon contamination in the beam.

The component of muons from process 1 can be measured by changing the effective density of the target and, as described above, is essential in the measurement of μ_D/π .

The background from process 2 should be very small, but if any exists, it is directly measurable. It is hard to estimate how many fast

pions will emerge from a block 18 absorption lengths long on which protons have impinged, but it should be very small. However, of those pions which do emerge, less than 3% decay. Thus by measuring the π flux downstream of the absorber at a given x one can measure the muon contribution from pion punchthrough. This can be done by using the threshhold counter downstream to separate protons from pions, and the muon detector to identify hadrons as distinct from muons.

The third source of background, muon contamination of the incoming beam, is the most dangerous. Muons in the beam would behave just like directly produced muons in that they are independent of the decay distance. One can make only a crude estimate of this background - to

really know how serious it is, one has to try.

We would take the following steps to suppress this background:

1) One would expect the muon background to be spatially broader than the diffracted proton beam. One can measure this with counters downstream of the absorber. 2) One can define the incoming proton beam with anti counters on the upstream side of the berm at the 450' region and veto events in which protons interact in the transport system close to the absorber. 3) We would add a mirror, phototube, and gas system to the 200' vacuum pipe upstream of the absorber to turn it into a threshhold counter capable of separating protons from muons. This should allow a rejection factor against muons of at least 100, and would allow the measurement of the muon contamination.

A crude estimate of the background, probably good to an order magnitude, assumes that these muons came from proton interactions in the beam transport upstream of the target. If one assumes that 10% of the beam is lost, and that on the average a pion produced travels 50 ft. before it interacts with something, and with the rejection of the Cerenkov counter, the background will occur at a level of $< 10^{-6}$. However, there is a large solid angle factor suppressing this number, depending on where the pions are made.

Comparison of this Proposal with NAL Experiment 48

The pending FNAL experiment 48 of Adair and co-workers to measure the intensity and polarization of directly produced muons does not have much overlap with this proposal. We are proposing a quick systematic search in the forward direction at a series of well determined values of x, at a fixed small P_{\perp} . This would be an immediate follow-up to the high P_{\perp} single lepton discoveries. In contrast E48 is larger, more sensitive, but will measure the intensity at large P_{\perp} averaged over a range of values in P_{\perp} and x.

The major differences between of the two experiments are listed below:

1. <u>Sensitivity.</u> Our present proposal is far less sensitive than E48 should be in terms of the cross section one could measure if there were no background. The apparatus we propose has a solid angle of 3.6 x 10^{-7} steradians. The 6' x 6' counters in the E48 proposal subtend a solid angle at 700' of approximately 8 x 10^{-5} steradians. Because we are using a secondary beam, we are limited to approximately 5 x 10^{8} protons/pulse, while E48 can use the extracted proton beam, i.e., on the order of 5 x 10^{12} /pulse. Thus while E48 is capable of reaching cross sections in the 10^{-38} range, our proposal would reach only to the 10^{-32} range.

However we should point out that if $\mu_D/\pi=10^{-4}$ at x = .5, the cross section we wish to measure is on the order of 10^{-30} . At the estimated rate of 800 direct muons per hour we would then have a good measurement of μ_D/π in a shift of running at one x value. It does not require extraordinary sensitivity to measure the direct muon production if in fact the μ_D/π ratio is on

the order of 10^{-4} . This is the reason that E48 emphasizes the region where P_⊥ is large, because it is there that the background due to pion decay is small. In fact, if $\mu_D/\pi = 10^{-4}$ at x = .5, the direct muon signal would be approximately 10 times the background due to pion decay. It is the possibility of such a large signal that motivates a quick search.

2. <u>Kinematic Region of Search</u>. We propose to measure the ratio of the direct muon flux to the pion flux at very small values of $P_{\underline{I}}$, i. e., $P_{\underline{I}} < 300$ MeV. We would perform this measurement at discrete values of x between x = .3 and x = .75 with a precision in x of \pm 5%. These measurements would determine whether the results at high $P_{\underline{I}}$ hold true in the region where the more conventional strong interactions are known to dominate, i. e., at low $P_{\underline{I}}$. We emphasize that both the x and the $P_{\underline{I}}$ of the muon would be measured accurately in the magnetic spectrometer downstream of the target.

In contrast, the E48 proposal does not determine either the momentum or the P_L of the muon very well. For instance at an x of .5, the uncertainty in P_L will be on the order of 2 GeV, and the uncertainty in x will be on the order of 50%. This lack of resolution will result in averaging the μ_D/π ratio over the kinematic variables.

The E48 apparatus is not sensitive in the forward direction. Because they emphasize the detection of muons with P_{\perp} greater than 2 GeV, their apparatus is situated to the side of the undeflected beam line. In contrast, the present proposal accepts muons only in the forward direction, and with P_{\perp} 's of less than 300 MeV.

Changes necessary to the Ml beam line

The changes needed to use the MI beam line

result from using the first stage to transport a diffracted proton beam and the second stage as a muon spectrometer. Q_{1-2} require an excitation current of 110 amps rather than the nominal good field limit of 100 amps. To be really conservative the two Acme power supplies powering these quads can be ramped.

It would be necessary to power B_{5-6} and B_{7-9} separately - they are now run in series. This can be done by severing one bus from the 5 power supplies now in the M2 service building and changing a second supply over to the current regulator mode. The B_{5-6} magnets would require 5,300 amps which the Transrex supplies presently powering the magnets would supply. To provide this current the calibration of the transductor must be changed, which we are told is a fairly minor job. These magnets will have been ramped by the time this experiment would begin, so that the power dissipation would be under control.

Some shielding would need to be added outside of the service door to the M1 tunnel downstream of the variable density target. Installing the variable density target would require removing some vacuum pipe and the C 7 collimator upstream of B_{7-9} in order to increase the length of the air gap in that region. We would like to attach a Čerenkov counter head to the vacuum pipe in the beam upstream of the variable density target.

The installation of the variable length target, the scintillation counter and the above changes could be accomplished over several of the Thursday shutdowns and should not necessitate any interruption in the utilization of the MI beam line.

Running Time

We request a short test run in the MI beam line. We would need an integrated proton intensity of about 10^{17} protons over one week's time in order to tune the beam and the electronics, check backgrounds, and make a measurement of the direct muon production at one x value. Most of the electronics setup and testing can be done in a non-interfering parasitic mode.

If direct muons are produced at 10^{-4} of the pion flux, we can make a detailed measurement at one value of x in a day's running. For instance, at x = .5, the projected rate is for 800 direct muons per hour, leading to approximately 16,000 direct muons/day.

Given the presence of direct muon production at a reasonable level, we would like at a later time an integrated proton flux of 2×10^{17} to measure the μ^+ and μ^- production spectrum with $\frac{P}{L} < 400$ MeV/c over a wide range in x. Under normal running conditions, this flux could be obtained in a week.

References

- 1. G. Miller et al., Phys. Rev. <u>D5</u>,528 (1972)
- 2. D. J. Fox, preprint CLNS-273 (June, 1974).
- 3. A litke et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1189-1192 (1972).
- 4. B. Richter, Invited talk at the Irvine Conference (1973).
- 5. J. H. Christenson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1523 (1970)
- J. P. Boymond et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 112 (1974).
- 7. J. Appel et al., NAL PUB-74/71-EXP.
- 8. D. Bintinger et al., paper submitted to session A3 of the London Conference July, 1974).
- 9. CCRS Collaboration. ibid.
- Bonarenko et al., Proceedings of the XVI Int. Conf. on HEP Vol. 2, p. 329
 (1972) edited by J. D. Jackson and A. Roberts.
- By conventional wisdom we mean that general frame of mind known as the parton model. See, for example, <u>Photon-Hadron Interactions</u>, R. P. Feynman (W. A. Benjamin, Reading Mass., 1972).
- 12. J. F. Davis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 1356 (1972).
- 13. R. Adair. Comment in session A3 of the London Conference (1974). A1so, very recent BNL preprint Leipuner et al. on Production of Prompt Muons by the Interaction of 23 GeV Protons.
- 14. See, for example, H. Georgi <u>et al</u>., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 451 (1974).
- 15. T. Goldman and P. Vinciarelli, SLAC-PUB-1414 (1974).
- 16. Princeton-Chicago Collaboration, private communication.
- 17. P. J. Wanderer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 729 (1969).
- C. M. Ankenbrandt et al., Phys. Rev. <u>D3</u>, 2582 (1971).

References, contd.

- 19. V. Blobel et al., Physics Letters 48B, 73 (1974).
- 20. R. C. Lamb et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 15, 800 (1965).
- 21. D. C. Carey et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 330 (1974).
- 22. This factor of 6.8 is equal to $e^{-4.5\Delta P}$ where ΔP_{\perp} is the change in the transverse momentum due to changing the production angle from 3.6 to 1.6 mr. The slope of 4.5 at $x \ge .5$ was determined from the p p data of the Bonn-Hamburg-Munich collaboration. The ratio of the fluxes obtained from preliminary measurements in the M1 and M2 beam lines differs by a factor of 2 from the $e^{-4.5\Delta P_{\perp}}$ prediction. These rates would predict a much flatter slope, i.e., $e^{-2P_{\perp}}$, and would consequently lower our rates by approximately a factor of 3.
- 23. J. W. Cronin, et al., Paper presented in the A_3 session of the XVI International Conference (1974).


Figure Captions


- Figure 1. The variable density target configuration.
- Figure 2. A typical extrapolation plot as calculated for x=0.5 and $\mu_D/\pi=10^{-4}$.
- Figure 3. The MI beam line and apparatus layout.
- Figure 4. The rate for detecting direct muons for 3 \times 10 12 300 GeV protons/pulse on the meson lab target.

Stel alsorber (fixed variable density trayt (high density position) -Variable density tangt (100 dusity position) diffracted proton beam

7 give 1

scale² (ft)





٠٠

